ipid

Department:
Independent Police Investigative Directorate
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Private Bag X941, Pretoria, 0001, 114 Madiba Street, City Forum Building, Pretoria Tel: (012) 399 0000 Fax: (012) 326 0408

MEMORANDUM					
то	:	MR KI KGAMANYANE ACTING-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR			
FROM	:	MR AMAR MAHARAJ ETHICS MANAGER			
DATE	:	29 JANUARY 2016			
SUBJECT	:	UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BY			
1. PURPOSE:					
To inform the Acting-Executive Director of unprofessional, irregular and unlawful conduct by which compromises the integrity of the IPID Ethics Office and results in a lack of trust by staff and stakeholders.					
2. ANNEXURE					
2.1 (A) Email f 2.2 (B) List o summaries	f files	dated 26/01/2016 as per Protected Disclosure made by include case			
2.3 (C) Email as verified		dated 02/03/2015 with summaries of cases and current status internally.			
3. BACKGROU	IND/DISC	USSION:			
As the former Ethics and Risk Manager, and now Ethics Manager I have witnessed a number of instances of unprofessional and irregular, and unlawful conduct by the left me flabbergasted. The conduct and resolve of the					

above reproach. However, as per the following examples I will illustrate conduct that is not consistent with the IPID Values of, Mutual Respect and Trust, Integrity and Honesty, Transparency and Openness, Equity and Fairness, and Commitment and Accountability.

3.1 Report to Ethics Office by	re Adjustment Claims.
	was reached between the IPID and
As per the complaint from	has yet not been made by the IPID.
On the day of the receipt of the report, I whose response was the following number"? and "How is the complaint an Ethics N	. "Why did they contact you"? " How did they get your
also explained that the matter is an ethics matter	c details are widely available to staff for reporting, and er because it shows internal conduct inconsistent with d that the Values of Trust, Integrity, Transparency and nce.
Once I had received a copy of the agreement proceeded to leave my Office to engage with to	between and the IPID, I on the matter, in order to respond
Upon discovering that I was going to discuss instructed me not to meet with indefinite abeyance and I am unable to respond	As a result the matter, similar to others, is held in
	ole, make things go away, and not deal professionally conduct serves to create an unprofessional work

3.2 Whistle-Blower (2014). Matter suppressed.
in September 2014, contacted the Ethics and Risk Management Office to make a report of approximately 100 cases 'unattended to' or 'completed' and not worked on since February 2014 at State of affairs is State of affairs is State of affairs who was then State of the cases in question. On 29 September 2014, I was furnished with a list of 58 cases via email.
I conducted a preliminary assessment of the cases and compiled a report with details and summaries of each case. I noted that the cases related to, amongst others, Assault, Torture and Murder. A number of the cases had been 'completed' for statistical purposes without proper investigation.
Further to my preliminary assessment, I formally wrote to and accepted his report as a protected disclosure. I advised him that in terms of section 3 of the Protected Disclosures Act, he will not be subjected to any occupational detriment in relation to the work environment which includes, being subject to any disciplinary action, being dismissed, suspended, demoted, harassed or intimidated.
In my report to the, I held the view that IPID official/officials 'are failing to comply with their legal obligations and that a miscarriage of justice has occurred. I recommended a full investigation into the matter.
Upon the appointment of the new reported the matter fully to and much to my surprise immediately took a view that it was a Labour Relations matter despite my office accepting the Protected Disclosures Act.
Further complaints were received from environment, warnings and intimidation by at the lt became apparent to me that environment had become intolerable.
It is a fact that the took a position to disregard the matter of who has been formally accepted as a whistle-blower, and has tacitly allowed him

to be subjected to occupational detriment which is prohibited in terms of the Protected Disclosure's Act.

As a result of inaction by the Governance Unit and suppression of the matter,
become further emboldened and perceives himself as being untouchable and unaccountable.
occupational detriment has continued unabated and he has since been
demoted and received numerous warning from

It is important to note that this matter was not reported to the Compliance, Ethics and Risk Committee since November 2014 as the Committee had failed to meet. This failure has proven to be a major breach of internal controls and proper governance process for which someone needs to be held accountable for.

3.3 Irregular request by		to	delete	Emerging	Risks
from Quarterly Repor	t in early 2015.				

A few months into the appointment into the post of when I was still Ethics and Risk Manager, I received an extraordinary request which I had experienced for the first time in my career. I was asked to delete 'Emerging Risks' from my report.

I had noted the following emerging risks in my quarterly report:

Emerging Risks identified by the Risk Manager.

Risk		Level of Risk
1.	Cases may be 'completed' without proper investigation within 90 days in order to achieve performance/statistical targets.	HIGH
2.	Internal control systems and processes at provincial level may not be in place to record and track 'completed' cases.	HIGH
3.	Dockets may not be quality assessed before being submitted to the DPP resulting in a decision not to prosecute. The case may then be closed.	HIGH
4.	DPP queries may not be attended to and cases may be left unattended and eventually closed.	HIGH
5.	Investigators may lack confidence, experience and training or may not be competent to give testimony in court.	HIGH
6.	Murder, rape and torture cases may be held back because they take too long to investigate.	HIGH
7.	Brought forward registers may not be in place.	HIGH
8.	Delegations of authority and workflow processes at Provincial level	HIGH

	may not be reviewed resulting in inefficiencies and or conflict.	
9.	Cases which have been 'completed' over the last two years for performance/statistical objectives and currently unattended, may not be investigated further or may be closed.	HIGH
10.	Cases may be closed as unsubstantiated in order to achieve performance targets.	HIGH
11.	Cases closed as unsubstantiated during the 2013-2014, and 2014- 2015 financial years may not have been audited by Internal Audit.	HIGH
12.	Investigators may not be trained effectively to perform their functions resulting in poor statement taking, weak reports, including poor grammar, and weak investigations.	HIGH
13.	Post mortem results may not be collected resulting in undue delays in finalising investigations.	HIGH
14.	Stakeholder management strategies and processes may not be effective resulting in abnormal delays at the DPP and weak compliance from SAPS in terms of disciplinary matters.	HIGH

	compliance from SAPS in terms of disciplinary matters.	
The	was insistent that I delete the above Risks.	reasons were that,
"Our	r job is to support Managers and not give them work to do".	his was as a result of a
meet	ting held with who complained to her about the	Emerging Risks I had
highli	lighted and who told her that, "he did the same thing before he	was suspended".
Upon	n receiving the instruction to delete the Emerging Risks, I was	outraged and penned a
letter	r to her to note my objection. However, I decided against hand	ing over the letter as the
interr	nal atmosphere was becoming too hostile and	decided to take fully
respo	onsibility for the deletion of the emerging risks from the report.	It was apparent that
	and others, were acting in common pur	pose. At the same time I
believ	ve it was their decision to suppress the	
l disc	cussed this matter with my work colleagues at Audit and Gov	ernance, who were also
astou	unded at the instruction to delete the Emerging Risks from my	report. I also believe that
the C	Compliance, Ethics and Risk Committee meeting was deliberat	ely not held to avoid the
Emer	rging Risks and other matters being placed on the table.	
3.	.4 Unprofessional and Stressful Work Environment	
It is my asse	essment that the conduct of the	d an internal environment
that is unpro	fessional and is rapidly eroding and headed towards major interp	personal conflict.
The actions	and conduct of the	onstant edge and this is
evident in h	ner relationship with me and more so after the appointment	of the new
		5

	who has been scathing in his remarks about the performance of the
Governance Unit and the lack of h	olding the Compliance, Ethics and Risk Committee Meetings for 14
months. Further, the	is always anxious as to whether I may make disclosures to
the and or correct her	at a meeting.

It is not my intention to cause conflict, but as Ethics Manager at the IPID I need to show resolve and deal with issues with conviction. It is precisely this conviction that has enabled a strong bond of trust to be established between the IPID staff and myself. I would be failing in my duties and responsibilities if I did not report the matter to you and avoid a complete breakdown in the work relationship.

4 RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 3.1 I recommend that the a full enquiry be held in to the on the following:
- a) The matter not be handled by and or
- b) All the cases reported by have been completed or closed without proper investigation, charges are to be laid against individuals for defeating the ends of justice.
- c) Labour Relations file to be examined by an independent official and his demotions and warnings to be reversed.
 - 4.2 A formal enquiry into the role and conduct of the

including:

- a) position on and regarding failing in her duties and responsibilities towards addressing the matter.
- b) decision not to deal fully with the matter of whistle-blower and by omission causing him to endure occupational detriment and an intolerable work environment.
- c) loyalty and obligation to individuals which have resulted in her compromising her independence and thwarting the proper role and functioning of the Corporate Governance Unit and Ethics Office in particular.
- d) If fitness as which requires strong leadership, independence, commitment and compliance with the laws of the country, and advancing and promoting the Values of the IPID.

Yours Sincerely,

MR AMAR MAHARAJ ETHICS MANAGER

DATE: 29 JANUARY 2016